Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for R	Release 2012/06/28 : CIA-RDP91B00874R000300090005-6	
	25X	1

9 July 1986

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Deputy Director for Intelligence			
FROM:	Deputy Chief, Africa Division, ALA			
SUBJECT:	Illustrative Measures on South Africa			

- 1. Attached for your review is the package of impact analyses for State's list of illustrative measures. In some cases, we have briefly raised alternative options that could be taken. I do not know your plans for distributing these, but I will be attending the State-hosted interagency meeting this afternoon and could deliver them.
- 2. State's illustrative measures, which vary significantly in their scope, are all intended to send political messages to South Africa--telling whites that the United States is committed to ending apartheid and convincing blacks that the United States is genuinely seeking the end to white rule. To a great extent, the impact these "signals" have on South Africans--both black and white--will determine how domestic and international audiences will react to them. The United States, of course, could send political messages directly, that is, by political gestures rather than economic-oriented actions. For your background, we have listed a few, but have not provided impact sheets on them since they are so squarely in State's sphere. All are pretty controversial with lots of pros and cons.
 - -- Appoint a black American as Ambassador to South Africa; such an appointment would be an unequivocal statement to Pretoria of US rejection of apartheid; furthermore, this official probably would have an entree into black South Africa unavailable to any other Western official; it is a gesture that the United States is in a unique position to make.
 - -- High-level, high-visibility visit to Frontline States; a high-ranking US official would meet with regional heads of state to discuss the US role in the region and how the US and the FLS together can handle South Africa.
 - Announce expanded financial assistance for black labor unions, including the funding of strike funds; a strike by the

25X1

- S F C R F T-

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Declassified in Part - Sanitiz .	zed Copy Approved for Release 2012/06/28 : CIA-RDP91B00874R000300090005-6	25X1
	National Union of Mineworkers is widely expected this summer and US assistance to the union probably would be an unexpected gesture.	
	Indicate official US support for antigovernment groups including the African National Congress; announce plans for regular, high-level meetings.	
		25X1
Attachment: As stated		
As stated		
		25X1

UNILATERAL U.S. ACTIONS

SECRET

Proposed	Action:	Expelling	ลไไ	South	African	Defense	Attaches
opo-o-			~	~~~~	TOWIT	2010120	1100001100

	sense of diplomatic isolation. South Africa traditionally has tried to maximize its overseas presence and recently fought to maintain some form of attache representation following Argentina's expulsion of the South African attache.		25X ²
L	Since the recent expulsion of		25X
	the senior South African attache, Pretoria's attache office in the US		
	has an air attache, a naval attache, a sergeant, and two secretaries accredited to the US.		25X
	Impact on Region: Probably none. Many of its security liaisons have been suspended following South Africa's recent raids against ANC		
	facilities in Botswana, Zimbabwe, and Zambia.	•	25 X °
	Impact on US and Allies: Pretoria probably would respond in kind, expelling the US attache contingent consisting of an army attache, a naval attache, an assistant air attache, four enlisted men, and one		
	secretary.		25X
		•	25X ⁻
	the attache office in Pretoria operates a C-12 passenger plane in		25X′
	support of US Embassies throughout southern Africa.		25 X °
	Alternative Options: Expel commercial attache, science attache or nonessential Embassy personnel. In each case, the principal impact is		
	diplomatic, signaling South African isolation, but the US would be hurt somewhat more than South Africa since our representation is		
	usually larger and performs more valuable servicese.g. contacts that	7	
	our commercial attache is making with black businessmen.		25 X

the U.S.	25 X 1
Impact on South Africa: Little overall impact on South African access to technology as most transfers occur through commercial ties, especially direct foreign investment. Probably would increase cost of obtaining technology and restrict access to export licensing. Official scientific presence consists of a science and technology attache, who also serves as the official liasion for the government-supported Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), and at least one CSIR representative at the South African Consulate in Beverley Hills. CSIR conducts fundamental scientific research from agricultural development to space research and has also applied for numerous U.S. export licensing requests, particularly in the semiconducter industry. South African scientists frequently attend academic seminars, training and research programs. South Africa probably would try to expand scientific ties with other countries, such as Israel where CSIR already has a bilateral exchange program.	25 X
Impact on on region: Probably none as Pretoria likely to retaliate directly. Minor economic sanctions against neighbors possible, however, including withdrawal of technological advisory programs, and abandoning joint scientific reserach projects particularly in the area of vaccine and disease research.	25X
Impact on the U.S. and Allies: Minimal. South Africa would undoubtedly expel the U.S. scientific attache, who gains little significant scientific information Pretoria may close the door on movement toward	25X 25X 25X
enrichment plant, but these negotiations already rife with problems.	25X

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/06/28 : CIA-RDP91B00874R000300090005-6 25X1

MULTILATERAL ACTIONS WITH ALLIES

(but Possible U.S. Unilateral Actions)

Proposed Action: Revoke South Africa's Most Favored Nation Status

Impact on South Africa: Most South African goods would not be affected by its loss of Most Favored Nation status which would have effects similar to a selected boycott of South African products. Our analysis of past trade boycotts against South Africa and other countries suggests that such actions are most likely to be effective when the goods involved are easily traceable to country of origin and substitutes are readily available at similar prices from other sources of supply. This would affect South African exports such as coal, steel, agricultural products, and gold coins, but these goods account for less than 20 percent of total South African export earnings. By contrast, boycotting or, if Most Favored Nation status is revoked, imposing a higher price on South African gold bullion, diamonds, and platinum--which account for more than half of export earnings -- would be difficult to enforce as they are readily marketable, easily transshipped, and difficult to trace. An Embassy contact reports that in an effort to circumvent possible trade sanctions, some exporters of mineral commodities already are laundering forwarding documents to disguise South African origin.

Impact on Region: Pretoria might respond with minor economic sanctions against neighbors, including expulsion of some foreign workers, rail slowdowns, and the like. South African companies probably would try to use neighboring states to disguise country of origin with some minor benefits for those countries.

Impact on US and Allies: Pretoria probably would tighten foreign currency controls on the repatriation of dividends and profits by foreign companies, which would affect the book value of foreign assets in South Africa. Pretoria might threaten to impose limited embargoes on strategic mineral sales to the West, but may be deterred from taking action by fear of prompting tougher sanctions.

25**X**1

25X1

Proposed Action: Terminate South African Airlines Landing Rights

Impact on South Africa: Would reinforce South Africa's already strong sense of diplomatic isolation. Pretoria clearly anticipates further sanctions on its civil aviation. The state-run South African Airlines (SAA) already is experiencing financial difficulties due to the recession, the falling rand, inflation, and increased costs, and sanctions would further cripple its sinking revenues. SAA already is developing elaborate schemes to counter the effects of lost landing rights in the West by developing alternatives in Zambia, Swaziland, and Mauritius. Obtaining agreement to a total ban on SAA landing rights in Europe will be difficult since negotiations reportedly are underway with Olympic Airways (Greece), Alitalia (Italy), Sabena (Belgium), KLM (The Netherlands), and Uta (France) to increase the weekly number of flights to South Africa from 2 to 3, with the provision that they pay a special surcharge to SAA. Termination of landing rights by only a few countries would have only limited impact.

Impact on Region: Some countries in the region would stand to gain additional revenues due to increased international traffic through their facilities. However, South Africa is likely to retaliate for lost landing rights by restricting some rail transit in the region.

Impact on US and Allies: Pretoria would likely retaliate by denying foreign carrier landing rights in South Africa at a substantial loss of revenues to individual Western air carriers. For example, Prime Minister Thatcher recently stated that stopping direct flights to South Africa could cost British Airways about \$100 million in lost revenues. Loss of South African landing rights also would require significant re-routing of Western air traffic to the region. In addition, South Africa could retaliate by restricting access of some Western ships to ports and refueling facilities. More than 12,000 ships of numerous registries call annually in Durban, Cape Town, Port Elizabeth, and other South African ports annually.

25**X**1

25X1

Alternative action: The U.S. and its Allies could demand descriptions of the duties of South African diplomatic personnel, as we do with Cuba and the Soviet Union, or limit renewals of visas for diplomatic personnel. Could be labelled as retaliation for South African moves and probably would lead to significantly reduced diplomatic presences, both in South Africa and in the West.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Proposed Action: No New Investment For Non-Sullivan (non-EC Code) Signatories

Impact on South Africa: Would have little economic impact since we expect little new foreign investment in South Africa until the domestic unrest subsides, which is unlikely in the near term. The recent debt crisis--triggered by a loss of foreign confidence in South Africa--clearly indicates investor concern over domestic political and economic uncertainties. During 1985, foreign investment in South Africa fell by nearly \$4 billion as the result of disinvestment, loan repayment, and capital flight.

Estimated Foreign Investments in South Africa*
(1985)

		(1)0)	
Country	Total	Direct	
United Kingdom	\$15 billion	\$4.5+ billion	
United States	<pre>\$13 billion</pre>	\$2.1 billion	
West Germany	<pre>\$3 billion</pre>	\$1+ billion	
France	<pre>\$2 billion</pre>	\$1.6 billion	
Other	\$8 billion	•	
Total	\$42 billion	\$19 billion	_

* Includes direct investment, foreign-owned shares on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange, other types of equity investment and overseas debt.

Impact on Region: Pretoria probably would respond with minor economic sanctions against neighbors, including expulsion of some foreign workers, rail slowdowns, and the like. If part of comprehensive package, more severe punitive actions likely.

Impact on US and Allies: Pretoria probably would retaliate by tightening foreign currency controls on the repatriation of dividends and profits by foreign companies, which would affect the book value of foreign assets in South Africa. Pretoria might threaten to impose limited embargoes on strategic mineral sales to the West, but may be deterred from taking stiffer action by fear of prompting tougher sanctions.

Alternative Option: Ban All New Foreign Investment and Loans: We believe that many studies overstate South African economic vulnerability to foreign restrictions on new investment and loans, although widespread investmentand loan restrictions would reduce long-run growth potential. South African investment has not depended heavily on foreign funds: net capital inflows accountedfor only 9 percent of domestic fixed investment between 1963 and 1980, according to South African Government data. Since 1980, capital outflows have exceeded capital inflows by an average of \$400 million per year, and investment has been funded from internal corporate savings. A portion of these internally-generated funds have come from subsidiaries of foreign companies, but, to date, bans on new investment have not applied to reinvested profits.

25X1

			•
Proposed Action:	: No computer sales to South	African Government	
	-		•
of computer sal	les. Some government agend	nd short-lived for a US only cut-ocies and government-owned compan	
undoubteary wou.	ld be caught short		
use a wide var government offi	IBM architecture as their collecty of of IBM-compatible compatible compatible companies is probably unenforcable.	le since South Africans undoubted	to
would divert U	S and Western supplied com	puters from private use.	
	·		
against neighbor	ring states, including expul	espond with minor economic sanctic	ail
punitive actions		comprehensive package, more seven	re,
probably unenfo	rcable, acquisition by Sou	ales only to government offices th African business firms probal t demand, thus negating impact on	bly
significant shore computers and ot South African to produced domest effective embar progress in sem	rt-term impact, but could prother sophisticated electronic echnological capabilities, be ically are small enough to brigo difficult. Moreover, Siconductor fabrication and vice of the second control	er Sales. A total ban would have ove difficult to enforce. Product devices is probably beyond exist out most of the vital components on the carried in by suitcase, making South Africa has made significate have little doubt that as in the calculate of the carried to the carried of the carrie	ing ing not an ant oil
	ectronic equipment.	ploy clandestine means to acqu	ire
		Computers and compu	ter
to South Africa	a in 1985. A total ban on s	category of goods exported by the sales to South Africa would cost	US
computer manufac	cturers over \$100 million and	i its allies over \$500 million.	
		•	

Proposed Action:	Extending	arms	${\tt embargo}$	against	military	and	police
------------------	-----------	------	-----------------	---------	----------	-----	--------

Impact on South Africa: Minimal. South Africa has been operating under a voluntary UN arms embargo since 1963, made mandatory in 1977. The result has been a resilient, thriving arms industry, which already meets most domestic defense and security requirements, with such exceptions as advanced aircraft, large naval vessels, and certain high technology electronics.

25X1

Impact on Region: Probably none.

25X1

Impact on US and Allies: We believe some US allies already turn a blind eye to covert trade with South Africa. Enforcement would be difficult as country of origin labels on weapons and shipping manifests are easily altered. Moreover, dealing with dual use commodities has always been difficult.

25X1 25X1

25X1

Alternative Options: Further discourage purchase of South African-produced arms by third countries, such as Iraq, Taiwan, Chile, and Argentina. The UN General Assembly in 1984 passed a nonbinding resolution, requesting UN member nations not to buy military equipment produced in South Africa. Despite South Africa's aggressive efforts to market its arms, actual sales are still fairly limited and the loss of these markets would have only a limited impact in South Africa.

25X1

Proposed Action: Stockpile strategic minerals

Impact on South Africa: Would reinforce South Africa's diplomatic isolation by signaling West's determination to reduce longstanding dependence on Pretoria. Any significant Western stockpiling effort, however, undoubtedly would boost the price of South African minerals--chromium, manganese, platinum-group metals, and vanadium -- and add to foreign currency earnings, about 9 percent of which come from these minerals. Over the long haul, however, higher prices would trigger accelerated recycling and substitution efforts, and encourage competing producers to gear up production. As the main alternative supplier of these strategic minerals, the Soviet Union probably would profit.

> Strategic Minerals: Estimated World Production, 1985 (Percent)

South Africa	•	,	HCCD
Mineral	Share of Western Production	Share of World	USSR Share of World
Chromium Manganese	53 29	Production 31	Production 31
Platinum group Vanadium	86	15 43	43 50
VallauTulli	58	42	31

Impact on Region: Would benefit Zimbabwe as producer of about 5 percent of world chromium supplies, with negligible impact elsewhere.

Impact on US and Allies: Aside from the cost of stockpiling and higher price of minerals for current use, would have little impact except in unlikely case Pretoria imposed preemptive embargo on strategic mineral sales. Pretoria would fear that an embargo would lower export earnings and could trigger reprissals against South Africa's export-dependent economy. The US has substantial stockpiles or reserves of all four minerals, West Europe has limited stockpiles, but Japan is the most dependent, receiving 97 percent or more of each of the four minerals from South Africa.

Alternative Option: Promote Substitution: An announcement that the US and its allies will push the development of alternative materials and sources of supply could have an important pyschological effect on Pretoria since it would signal the West's determination to reduce a longstanding dependence on South Africa. The immediate economic impact, however, would be negligible.

25X1

25X1

25X1

classified in Part - Sai	nitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/06/28 : CIA-RDP91B00874R00030009000
Proposed Action medicine.	: Ban all exports to the South African Government except
developed considurert needed in we estimate that and maintainin comprehensive i	Africa: A ban on all sales to government offices could have a pact but is probably unenforcable. South African firms have derable skill in evading end-use certification and probably could tems to the government and government-owned companies. Moreover, t between 1960 and 1979 Pretoria spent over \$2 billion building g nonmilitary strategic stockpiles and can weather even mport embargoes for several years. In addition, Pretoria has trefuge trade that has enabled it to circumvent all previous pess.
workers, rail si	egion: Pretoria probably would respond with minor economic ast neighboring states, including expulsion of some foreign lowdowns, and the like. If part of a comprehensive package, more eactions likely.
bronanty mient	S and Allies: Since a ban on sales only to the government is preable, acquisition by South African business firms would a reduction in government demand, thus negating impact on US and

25X1

25X1

25X1

Declassified in Part - Sanitized C	Copy Approved fo	or Release 2012/06/2	28 : CIA-RDP91B	00874R0003000	90005-6	25 X ′
		•		:		
		·				
	*.					
	•					
					4	
	-		•			
	POSITIVE ACT	TIONS WITH OR WIT	HOUT ALLIES			
			·		·	
1					÷	
· !						
. !						
} * * *						٠
1						

Proposed Action: Expand US AID programs

Impact on Region: None

Impact on South Africa: Would beef up existing programs and increase US ties to affected individuals but, in our view, would be unlikely to win many points for the United States among blacks in general. Growing anti-American sentiment among South African blacks reflects the perception that the United States supports Pretoria's "neo-apartheid" policies that change the image but not the reality of racial oppression. Increased US assistance to blacks under the current system reinforces the radical view that the US government is tacitly aiding SAG efforts to coopt blacks. Moreover, a rapid infusion of US aid targeted at blacks could overwhelm the already extended managerial capacity of many black business and community groups. Pretoria's recent crackdown on antigovernment activity also raises problems for possible US ventures since many community groups are affiliated with the United Democratic Front, whose local level leaders have been a specific target for detentions. Finally, the SAG's toleration of specific programs targetted at blacks already has been strained; increasing them will be viewed as more "foreign meddling" in internal affairs and could well be rejected by Pretoria, especially if these measures are announced in conjunction with an economic sanctions package.

zimpast on negron, none; anices are programs or nergiboring
countries are reduced to accommodate increased funding for
South Africa.
Impact on the United States and Its Allies: In addition to
normal budget problems, increased US or Allied assistance
targetted at blacks under the current system always risks
the perception, both domestically and internationally, that
efforts to help blacks, without ending apartheid, amounts t
little more than helping blacks adjust to continued white
rule.

25**X**1

25X1

<u>Proposed Action</u>: Increase aid to rebuild townships damaged in antiapartheid unrest, particularly in the areas of housing, education, health, and business development

Impact on South Africa. Unrest-related destruction in the townships stems largely from attacks by radicals which probably would continue despite US efforts to rebuild schools and other government buildings. Rapid urban growth is straining the already inadequate health, housing, and educational facilites and probably can be alleviated only by longterm development programs, themselves possible only if unrest subsides, which is unlikely. US assistance in such areas as housing undoubtedly would be seen by many blacks as American collaboration with Pretoria to make apartheid more acceptable. Pretoria, increasingly about "outside meddling," is unlikely to permit any crash program that is not carefully meshed into its own program for blacks—which obviously undercuts the potential impact on South African blacks.

Impact on Region: Probably some resentment from neighboring states where living conditions often fall short of those for urban blacks in South Africa.

Imapet on the US and Allies: Would require dramatic increases in funding to achieve even marginal results in the short-term. A coordinated effort by the US and its Allies, however, would likely allow greater funding and possibly defuse opposition from past critics of US projects.

25X1

25X1

25X1

Proposed Action: Marshall-type Plan for Southern Africa

Impact on South Africa: Strong demonstrations of Western support for neighboring black-ruled states would have significant psychological impacts on Pretoria, which also counts on its neighbors' dependency on South Africa to stave off sanctions. In the short term, however, significant progress toward reducing dependence on South Africa is unlikely. Moreover, any improvement in neighboring economies probably would raise their demand for South African imports. We estimate that South Africa earns about \$1.2 billion from all of its regional economic ties.

Impact on Region: Depends on nature and extent of assistance. Major infrastructural projects, such as upgrades of rail lines or port facilities, could take years to have any significant impact and could be derailed by continued regional turmoil. The Southern African Development Coordination Conference—formed in 1980 by nine southern and eastern African nations with the goal of reducing economic dependence on South Africa—has received donor contributions or commitments exceeding \$1 billion, but is further than ever from achieving its goals, in our view. Poor economic policy choices, drought, and low world prices for the region's major commodity exports have combined to boost economic dependence on South Africa, Western donors, and policy advice from organizations such as the IMF.

Impact on US and Allies: An effective Marshall-type plan would involve a massive multi-year effort. For example, in the extreme case of a South African blockade against its neighbors, balance of payments assistance to compensate for the loss of all exports currently funneled through South African ports would total nearly \$2 billion per year.